



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/083,054 02/26/2002		Kevin J. Schulz	S01.12-0829/STL 10301 4383		
75	90 12/23/2002				
Theodore M. M.	•	EXAMINER			
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY International Centre - Suite 1600			KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSEPH		
900 South Secon Minneapolis, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2652	-	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Q

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/083,0	54	SCHULZ ET AL.	20
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit	
	·	William J.	Klimowicz	2652	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	ion appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	'ess
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, is ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. 1ys, a reply within the state ry period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 November :</u>	<u> 2002</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is	non-final.		
3) <u>□</u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims				merits is
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the app	lication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-5,8-12,14,17</u>	7-20,22 <i>and</i> 25 is/	are withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7,13,15,16,21,23 and 24</u>	is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Cláim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	n and/or election r	equirement.		
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b)	objected to by the Exa	miner.	
•.	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 📙 7	The proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a) 🔲 a	pproved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	, ,	ffice action.		
12)∐ 7	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received in Applicati	on No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for the action for the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Offic	nal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		age
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d		•		oplication)
a)	The translation of the foreign langua	age provisional ap	plication has been rec	eived.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Attachment	(s)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-	
5. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Office Action Summa	ry	Part of Pa	per No. 10

Application/Control Number: 10/083,054

Art Unit: 2652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

Claims 1-25 are currently pending.

Claims 3-5, 8-12, 14, 17-20, 22 and 25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5 (filed June 18, 2002).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 13, 15, 16, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pal et al. (US 4,760,478) in view of Oberg (US 4,991,045).

As per claims 1, 13, 15, 21 and 23, Pal et al. (US 4,760,478) discloses a data storage device for storing and accessing data in tracks on a medium (11), the storage device having a suspension (12) comprising: a metal material (24) defining at least a portion of the suspension (12); an adhesive (34) (e.g., see COL. 4, lines 45-62) bonded to a portion of the metal material (24); and a stiffener material (36) being bonded to the adhesive and overlying the metal load beam.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,054

Art Unit: 2652

Additionally, as per claim 21, the suspension body (12) is formed from the layer of metal (24); and stiffener means (36) for increasing the stiffness of selected areas of the suspension.

As per claim 2, the metal material defines a load beam (24) of the suspension and the adhesive (34) and the stiffener material (36) are positioned on the load beam (24).

As per claims 1, 13 and 21, however, Pal et al. (US 4,760,478) remains silent with respect to the stiffener being a composite material. More concretely, Pal et al. (US 4,760,478) discloses the stiffener material being a conventional stainless steel (e.g., see COL. 6, lines 5-6).

Oberg (US 4,991,045), however, discloses an analogous suspension system used in a data storage device wherein a stiffener material provided as overlying a metal load beam is made of a composite material. Such a stiffener material (36) has a higher stiffness to weight ratio than the metal material (due to its composition, which includes a liquid crystal polymer - see COL 4, lines 23-47) as per claims 1 and 24.

Oberg (US 4,991,045) discloses such a composite stiffener material (liquid crystal polymers, which may include fillers such as fibers).

As per claims 6 and 16, the composite material (106) comprises a high performance plastic and as per claim 7, the composite material (106) comprises a liquid crystal polymer (see COL. 4, lines 23-47).

Oberg (US 4,991,045) discloses such a composite stiffener (stiffener means) material in lieu of similar stainless steel constructions in order to provide, *inter alia*, "substantial weight savings ... which may reduce access time" (COL. 4, lines 18-22) and wherein "[t]he mechanical damping is excellent" when contrasted with conventional stainless steel materials used in similar 4 lws applications. COL. 23-32.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,054

Art Unit: 2652

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the composite stiffener overlying the metal load beam as taught by Oberg (US 4,991,045) in lieu of the stainless steel stiffener of Pal et al. (US 4,760,478).

The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide the composite stiffener overlying the metal load beam as taught by Oberg (US 4,991,045) in lieu of the stainless steel stiffener of Pal et al. (US 4,760,478) in order to provide, inter alia, "substantial weight savings ... which may reduce access time" (COL. 4, lines 18-22) and wherein "[t]he mechanical damping is excellent" when contrasted with conventional stainless steel materials used in similar applications. COL. 23-32.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 13, 15, 16, 21, 23 and 24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Klimowicz whose telephone number is (703) 305-3452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30AM-5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2652

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

William J. Klimowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

WJK December

December 18, 2002